



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ı	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,245	-	03/25/2004	Mark Thomas Kief	169.12-0624	6709
164	7590	07/24/2006		EXAMINER	
KINNEY &		•	EVANS, JEFFERSON A		
312 SOUTH		NGE BUILDING STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPO	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55415-1002				
				DATE MAILED: 07/24/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,245	KIEF ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jefferson A. Evans	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-12,14-17,19-24,26,27 and 29-3 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,13,18,25 and 28 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. 34 is/are rejected.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Applica rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	
 P) Discourse of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Discourse Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail I	

Application/Control Number: 10/809,245

Art Unit: 2627

Claims 1 to 34 are pending.

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of "means" in line 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-11, 14-17, 19-24, 26, 27, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al (U.S. 6,807,094). Saito discloses bit lines and word lines of a MRAM that are clad in a soft magnetic material such as CoNiFe on up to three sides. Saito also discloses that this arrangement can be applied to a lateral or vertical write head (column 24 lines 17 to 20). Saito discloses bias layer AF in the form of an antiferromagnetic layer.

Official Notice is given that it was notoriously old and well known in the art that a recognized standard structure for a lateral magnetic write head was of two poles connected by a magnetic via and a write coil wrapped about the via.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the clad conductor arrangement of Saito to a coil of a lateral magnetic head having the coil between poles and wrapped around a via

Application/Control Number: 10/809,245

Art Unit: 2627

connecting the poles. The motivation would have been: such was the well recognized standard arrangement in the art for such a head.

4. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-17, 19-24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al in view of Mochizuki et al (U.S. 7,054,105). Saito discloses that his clad conductor arrangement can be applied to a vertical head but does not disclose the specific structure thereof.

Mochizuki is an example of a reference disclosing a vertical magnetic write head and the common features of a vertical magnetic write head, including a main pole 1 and a return pole 3 with a write coil 2 between the main and return poles.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the clad conductor arrangement of Saito to a coil of a vertical magnetic head having the coil between a main pole and a return pole. The motivation would have been: such was the well recognized standard arrangement in the art for such a head.

It is noted that the coil of Mochizuki is close enough to the ABS to be considered adjacent to the ABS, but does not extend to the ABS itself and so can also be considered removed from the ABS.

5. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito et al in view of Rizzo (U.S. 6,430,085), or Saito et al in view of Mochizuki et al further in view of Rizzo. Saito does not appear to indicate whether the cladding should be saturated or not during application of a write current.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,245 Page 4

Art Unit: 2627

Rizzo discloses that either part or all of the cladding may be saturated (note column 8 – lines 25 to 50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the cladding of Saito be partially or completely saturated during write current application, as taught by Rizzo. The motivation would have been:

Rizzo teaches that such an arrangement was advantageous for enabling the cladding to best perform its designated function of controlling magnetic field flow.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 4, 13, 18, 25, 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jefferson A. Evans whose telephone number is 571-272-7574. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Thi Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jefferson A. Evans

JEFFERSON EVANS PRIMARY EXAMINER

A.U. 2627